# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

## **PCT**

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



### INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7;	Γ	(1	1) International Publication Number:	WO 00/19494
H01L 21/00, H01J 1/14, 19/24	A1	(4	3) International Publication Date:	6 April 2000 (06.04.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCI/US (22) International Filing Date: 28 September 1999 (			(81) Designated States: AU, CN, JP, K BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(30) Priority Data: 60/102,159 28 September 1998 (28.09.9) (71) Applicant: XIDEX CORPORATION [US/US]; S		US DS,	Published  With international search report.  Before the expiration of the tim  claims and to be republished in  amendments.	e limit for amending the the event of the receipt of
8906 Wall Street, Austin, TX 78754 (US).  (72) Inventor: MANCEVSKI, Vladimir, 4806 Alta Lon	na Driv	ve,		
Austin, TX 78749 (US).  (74) Agent: McLAUCHLAN, Robert, A.; Gray Cary Freidenrich LLP, Suite 1440, 100 Congress Avenu TX 78701 (US).				
(54) Title: METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING CARBO	AN NC	NO	TUBES AS FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS (	OF MEMS DEVICES
(57) Abstract				
A system and method for manufacturing carbon na invention comprises the steps of preparing a MEMS substructure is fabricated on the MEMS substrate in which a nahole.	trate fo	r sy	inthesis of a carbon nanotube. A nanosize	hole or catalyst retaining

#### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

	AL	Albania	FS	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
ı	AM	Armenia	PI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
ı	AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
ı	ΑU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
ı	ΑZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
ı	BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
ı	BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
١	BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea '	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
ı	BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
١	BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tohago
ı	BJ	Benin	1E	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
ı	BR	Brazil .	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
ı	BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
ı	CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
ı	CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
ı	CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
١	CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
	CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
ı	CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
ı	CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
ı	CU	Cuba	ΚZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
ı	CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
ı	DE	Gennany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
ı	DK	Demnark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
1	EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

# METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING CARBON NANOTUBES AS FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS OF MEMS DEVICES

#### 5 RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S.

Provisional Application No. 60/102,159 filed on
28 September 1998. Additionally, this application
incorporates by reference the prior U.S. Provisional
10 Application No. 60/102,159 filed on 28 September 1998
entitled "Method for Manufacturing Carbon Nanotubes" to
Vladimir Mancevski.

### TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to methods of manufacturing carbon nanotubes and, more particularly, to a system and method for manufacturing carbon nanotubes as functional elements of MEMS devices.

#### 20 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Existing methods in growing nanotubes cannot fabricate an individual precisely-located hole. Existing methods rely on methods that produce an array of uniformly-distributed holes, and thus uniformly-distributed carbon nanotubes.

Therefore a method of growing a carbon nanotube having a controlled shape, length and orientation at a precisely controlled location is extremely desirable.

PCT/US99/22334 WO 00/19494

2

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5

15

The present invention provides a method for fabricating individual aligned carbon nanotubes as functional elements of MEMS devices.

More specifically, the present invention provides a system and method for manufacturing carbon nanotubes as functional elements of MEMS devices. The method of the present invention comprises the steps of preparing a MEMS substrate for growth of a carbon nanotube. A nanosize hole 10 or nanosize catalyst retaining structure (NCRS) is fabricated in a layer on the MEMS substrate in which a nanotube catalyst is deposited. A nanotube is then synthesized within the NCRS, after which the layer may be removed if needed.

A key innovation associated with the present invention is the manufacturing of at least one carbon nanotube on a MEMS substrate in a process suitable for large-scale manufacturing. The method of manufacturing provided by the present invention opens the door to many other applications 20 where an individual carbon nanotube, or collection of individual carbon nanotubes, can be used as functional element(s) or device(s).

A technical advantage of the present invention is that the method of the present invention is designed to produce 25 aligned carbon nanotubes with controlled shape, diameter, wall thickness, length, orientation, and location of growth.

Another technical advantage of the present invention is that the method of the present invention allows

3

fabrication of a NCRS with precise dimensions and location that will serve as a template or pore for a carbon nanotube with controllable length, orientation, diameter, and location. The method can be implemented so as to be compatible with microelectromechanical manufacturing systems (MEMS) fabrication processes.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a more complete understanding of the present

invention and the advantages thereof, reference is now made
to the following description taken in conjunction with the
accompanying drawings in which like reference numerals
indicate like features and wherein:

FIGURE 1 illustrates one method of the present invention as a flow diagram;

FIGURE 2 illustrates the template methods for manufacturing carbon nanotube tips;

FIGURE 3 illustrates the pore method for manufacturing carbon nanotube tips; and

20 FIGURE 4 shows a hole (NCRS) fabricated with controllable diameter, at a precise location on a substrate and with controllable depth.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

25 Preferred embodiments of the present invention are illustrated in the FIGURES, like numerals being used to refer to like and corresponding parts of the various drawings.

4

The method for nanosize hole fabrication of the present invention involves fabrication of an individual nanosize hole with the ability to control its alignment, diameter, depth, and location.

The present invention provides a method for manufacturing carbon nanotubes as functional elements of MEMS or semiconductor devices with the ability to control the carbon nanotubes alignment, diameter, shape, length, and location.

The method of the present invention comprises the steps of preparing a MEMS substrate suitable for growth of a carbon nanotube. A nanosize hole or nanoscale catalyst retaining structure (NCRS) is fabricated in a layer on the MEMS substrate in which a nanotube growth catalyst is deposited. A nanotube is then grown within the nanosize hole.

The method of the present invention allows fabrication of a NCRS with precise dimensions and location that will result in carbon nanotube(s) with desired size,

orientation, and location. The method can be implemented so as to be compatible with microelectromechanical manufacturing systems (MEMS) fabrication processes.

One such use for these carbon nanotubes is their use as scanning probe tool tips and, in particular, Atomic

25 Force Microscope (AFM) tips. A key innovation associated with the present invention is the manufacturing of an individual aligned carbon nanotube on a MEMS structure, such as AFM cantilever, in a process suitable for large-scale manufacturing. In this embodiment of the present

5

invention, the AFM tip is the functional element and the MEMS structure is the AFM cantilever. Other MEMS structures may include a flat panel display, a tuning fork probe and a torsional cantilever for magnetic resonance 5 force microscopy. Other carbon nanotube functional elements include flat panel display pixels, a molecular wire, or a nanotube used as a molecular antenna. present invention need not be limited to a particular MEMS structure or carbon nanotube functional element. 10 method of manufacturing provided by the present invention opens the door to many other applications where an individual carbon nanotube, or collection of individual carbon nanotubes, can be used as functional element(s) or device(s). This allows the manufacture of carbon nanotube 15 structures at a specified location, directly on a MEMS substrate, instead of forming the carbon nanotube structure elsewhere and then mounting the structure to the MEMS substrate.

Process parameters can be adjusted so as to make this
nanotube fabrication process compatible with standard AFM
cantilever fabrication processes. This approach is
suitable for fabricating the carbon nanotube tip and the
silicon cantilever in one continuous process, ideal for
large-scale manufacturing.

The method of the present invention encompasses the individual process steps described below as employed to produce carbon nanotubes with controlled parameters.

Additionally, the method includes the process consisting of the individual process steps listed below wherein an

6

individual process step may be replaced by a similar process step that essentially achieves the same function.

FIGURE 1 illustrates the method of the present invention as a flow diagram. First, in step 10, the MEMS substrate is prepared for synthesis of a carbon nanotube.

Next, in step 12, an NCRS with controlled shape, diameter and length is made in a layer at a specific desired location on the MEMS substrate. If the NCRS has high aspect ratio, the NCRS may be used to control the shape, length, and diameter of the carbon nanotube. This method of control is referred to as a template method. If the NCRS has small aspect ratio, the NCRS may control the diameter of the carbon nanotube. This method of control is referred to as a pore method.

20 At step 14, the size or diameter of the NCRS is evaluated and reduced as needed with similar or same methods as used to fabricate it or with similar or same methods as used to coat it, as known to those skilled in the art. These methods include electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.

At step 16, a nanotube catalyst is placed within an individual NCRS. Methods for placing this catalyst include electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electrocoxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition. This catalyst

7

may be placed on a specific surface of the NCRS, such as the bottom surface, or all surfaces of the NCRS.

In step 18 carbon nanotubes with controllable shape, diameter, orientation, wall thickness and length, are synthesized from within an individual NCRS Methods for synthesizing include thermal deposition of hydrocarbides, a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a reaction time of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a length of the carbon nanotube, and a chemical vapor deposition process parameter of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a wall thickness of the carbon nanotube.

At step 20, the template layer containing the synthesized carbon nanotubes may be removed if needed.

Afterwards, the carbon nanotubes are purified to remove impurities.

Reiterating the major process steps of the present invention, implemented sequentially, are:

- (1) preparation of a MEMS substrate;
- (2) creating an NCRS in a layer on the MEMS substrate;
  - (3) reducing the diameter of the NCRS;
  - (4) depositing a catalyst within the NCRS;
  - (5) synthesizing the nanotube; and
- (6) removing the template layer on the MEMS substrate 25 if needed; and
  - (7) purifying the nanotubes.

20

FIGURE 2 illustrates the template methods for manufacturing carbon nanotube tips, while FIGURE 3

8

illustrates the pore method for manufacturing carbon nanotube tips.

The method of the present invention works the best when materials and processes are as compatible as possible with the MEMS materials and processes. These include CVD methods to grow carbon nanotubes, nanohole fabrication methods, and catalyst deposition methods.

The requirements imposed by the functionality and the performance of the AFM cantilever drive the MEMS design, including the shape, dimensions, materials, and processes.

The intended use of an AFM cantilever with a carbon nanotube tip is to function as a metrology, mask repair, and possibly a lithography tool. However, the AFM cantilever with a carbon nanotube tip need not be limited to these functions.

A metrology tool must be capable of accessing deep trenches while vibrating with high frequency over the surface of a sample. To satisfy this general condition, the shape of the AFM cantilever has to allow access of the tip to all parts of the sample surface. A carbon nanotube tip grown directly on the cantilever itself would not have enough clearance to touch the sample surface. Therefore, cantilever 50 needs to provide the required clearance with a protrusion 52 or a larger blunt tip upon which the smaller carbon nanotube tip 54 would be grown as illustrated in FIGURE 4. The protrusion 52 or the larger tip 54 has to have a shape that allows fabrication of an individual hole and adequate access for CVD to occur. If photoelectrochemical etching is used to fabricate the hole,

9

the protrusion needs to be able to transmit light. Other functionality issues include: desired scanning speed, flexing of the carbon nanotube tip, the possibility of crashing of the tip, and bonding of the carbon nanotube tip with the cantilever substrate.

Geometrical and mechanical design are based upon existing MEMS fabrication technologies. This approach enables the manufacture of AFM cantilevers with aligned carbon nanotubes grown in place. A non-standard MEMS fabrication process, although feasible, might not be commercially viable. The cantilever design conforms to the restrictions addressed above.

Theoretically, the diameter, wall thickness, and length are determined as functions of the buckling forces

15 required by the desired application (such as CD metrology, for example). To suit many AFM applications a carbon nanotube with diameter of 100 nm and less and length of 1

.µm and more is very desirable.

For best results the method of the present invention
uses materials that are compatible with existing
micromachining technologies. Materials used with existing
MEMS process are: crystalline silicon, polysilicon, silicon
nitride, tungsten, and aluminum, for structural material;
undoped silicon dioxide, doped silicon dioxide,

polysilicon, and polymide, for sacrificial material; and fluorine-based acids, chlorine-based acids, and metallic hydroxides, for wet etching. The processes standard to existing MEMS technologies include: thin film deposition, oxidation, doping, lithography, chemical-mechanical

10

polishing, etching, and packing. Other variations of the method involve process strategies for use when cantilever fabrication has to be interleaved with other (external to the MEMS fabrication) process steps, such as photoelectrochemical etching, electroplating, CVD, or etching.

The methods for NCRS fabrication of the present invention involve fabrication of an individual nanosize hole with the ability to control its alignment, diameter, depth, and location. Existing methods in growing nanotubes cannot fabricate an individual precisely-located hole. Existing methods rely on methods that produce an array of uniformly-distributed holes, and thus uniformly-distributed carbon nanotubes.

Geometrical requirements refer to the alignment, diameter, depth, and location of the hole to be fabricated. To make carbon nanotubes commercially useful as AFM tips, the diameter of the tip, and therefore the diameter of the hole used in fabricating the nanotube, has to be less than 100 nm. Smaller diameters may also be very desirable. Commercial carbon nanotube tips need to have an aspect ratio of about 10:1, which implies a length of about 1  $\mu$ m for a 100 nm diameter tip.

Another important geometrical requirement is to have
the holes orthoganal to the substrate so that the resulting
grown carbon nanotubes are perpendicular to the surface of
the substrate as well. Naturally, if the requirements are
that the carbon nanotube form an angle with the surface of

11

the substrate, than, the holes should be also fabricated with such an orientation.

The following technologies are capable of satisfying the above geometrical requirements: electrochemical or photoelectrochemical etching, micromachining and lithography. In addition, each technology offers several different variations of the nanotube growth method.

Electrochemical (EC) and photoelectrochemical (PEC)
etching can be used to fabricate an individual nanosize

10 hole at a specific location on a substrate. EC/PEC etching
is a technology typically used to fabricate a porous
silicon layer, where nano- and micro-size holes with
uniform diameters are evenly spaced out onto the substrate.
A porous silicon layer is fabricated by anodization of

15 silicon in diluted HF under controlled current density.
Porous silicon offers possibilities for applications
including an insulating layer in silicon-on-insulator
structures, optical applications, photoluminescence,
electroluminescence, and micromachining of three
20 dimensional structures.

EC/PEC etching is used to control the number, diameter, shape, location, depth, and orientation of the holes. The existing EC/PEC etching process works well for mass fabrication of evenly distributed holes with precise diameters at random locations on a substrate. Hole diameter is precisely controlled with the current flux. Therefore different hole shapes are possible, including tapered or other variable diameter (over length) holes. The depth of the hole fabricated using EC/PEC etching is dependent upon

12

the diameter of the hole. This dependence is attributed to the fact that, during EC/PEC etching, the hole grows in both the axial and radial direction, not simultaneously, but in a staircase way. In most cases the EC/PEC fabricated hole is perpendicular to the substrate, but a sloped hole can also be fabricated by controlling the orientation of the substrate, the placement of the current source anode/cathode, and the light source. Our method enables manufacture of only an individual nanosize hole at a specific desired location, with control over its diameter, shape, and depth.

Control over hole location and number is achieved by using means to initiate EC/PEC etching at desired locations. One way of achieving this initiation is to 15 pattern the substrate with a mask to generate pits at precise locations on a substrate that will initiate formation of a NCRS. Another way of initiating the formation of the holes is to place an impurity, local defect, or stress as method to initiate the formation of a 20 NCRS. The impurity, local defect, or stress can be placed using x-ray lithography, deep UV lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam lithography, ion beam lithography, optical lithography, electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, 25 electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, or chemical vapor deposition.

Another way to control the location and number of a NCRS is to use the geometry of the substrate to etch at

13

desired location and do not etch at all or etch at different rates the areas surrounding the desired area. A pointy substrate (such as the AFM tip) the apex will etch a NCRS first, prior to any etching around it. In addition, if the AFM tip apex is sufficiently small only small number of holes will be etched. In extreme cases only one hole will be formed. In another scenario a V grove will etch first at the bottom before any etching around it.

Control over the NCRS size and shape is accomplished 10 by using:

- (1) the effects of the focusing, wavelength, and intensity changes of the light source for PEC etching,
- (2) the density and modulation changes of the current source, and
- 15 (3) the dopant concentration.

Timing the duration of the PC/PEC etch can control the depth of the hole.

For best results materials are used that can be used to generate nanoporous silicon and which are also compatible with MEMS fabrication. For example, p doped silicon is suitable for both MEMS fabrication and porous silicon fabrication. Other MEMS and PEC compatible materials can also be used.

As an alternative to PEC etching, the method of the

25 present invention uses micromachining technologies, such as
ion milling, and e-beam micromachining, to fabricate an
individual nanosize hole on specific location on an AFM
cantilever. Existing ion milling (IM) and e-beam (EB)
technologies can be used to fabricate holes with

14

controllable diameter, at precise locations on a substrate (controllable location), and with controllable depth.

FIGURE 4 shows a NCRS fabricated with controllable diameter, at a precise location on a substrate 5 (controllable location), and with controllable depth.

The advantage of using TM and EB technologies to fabricate a NCRS is that they can produce holes with diameters as small as 10 nm. In addition, the location and dimension of a feature, including a hole, can be achieved 10 with nanometer dimensional tolerances. Additionally, depths of hundreds of nanometers can be achieved with IM and EM. Because of their long use in the micromachining industry, IM and EM technologies are compatible with standard MEMS processes, which makes them very attractive.

The disadvantages of using IM and EB are their large capital and operating cost and low throughputs, which might not justify their use for mass production of AFM cantilevers with carbon nanotube tips. Since IM and EB can only fabricate features in a serial manner, each hole on an 20 array of AFM cantilevers that can fit on a individual wafer would have to be fabricated individually in a stepping manner. This approach would require sophisticated navigating methods to fabricate the holes as precise locations.

15

The method of nanotube fabrication of the present 25 invention also accommodates use of lithographic technologies, such as optical and scanning probe lithography, to fabricate an individual nanosize hole at specific location on the MEMS substrate. Existing optical

15

and scanning probe lithographic technologies can be used to fabricate holes with controllable diameter, at precise locations on a substrate (controllable location), and with controllable depth. These methods include x-ray lithography, deep UV lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam lithography, ion beam lithography, and optical lithography.

Optical lithography is a technology capable of mass production of features, including the holes that we need for our project, with high throughput. Control of the location and dimension of features, such as the hole, can be performed with great critical dimension tolerances. This technology is compatible with standard MEMS processes. Since optical lithography only provides masking capabilities, the actual hole will be fabricated with etching. Fortunately, the existing etching and masking processes for optical lithography are very controllable. Therefore, the depth of the hole will depend on the masking and etching processes and is very controllable.

20 The main disadvantage of using standard optical lithography is that it can not produce holes with diameter of about 130-100 nm, which is the current feature size limitation of this technology. Such a large hole is unsuitable for growing carbon nanotubes with 100 nm or less diameter. However, this disadvantage may be overcome by filling-in larger diameter holes down to a desired sub 100 nm diameter. The filling-in can be done with the catalytic material or other suitable material. This filling-in process enables the use of optical lithography for rapidly

and inexpensively fabricating holes suitable for growing carbon nanotubes. A potential disadvantage of using standard optical lithography is that the etching process for this technology might not be able to produce vertical holes with the high aspect ratio (10:1) that we need. To deal with this, we need proper mask design, photoresist, and etchants, necessary to fabricate a nanosize hole.

Scanning Probe Lithography can be used to fabricate features, including the holes, with great critical

dimension tolerances of the location and dimension of the hole. An advantage of scanning probe lithography over optical lithography is that it can directly produce holes with diameters as low as 10 nm, which is very suitable for small-diameter carbon nanotubes.

Unlike optical lithography and more like 15 micromachining technologies, scanning probe lithography has lower throughputs. As with the optical lithography, the etching process for this technology might not be able to produce vertical holes with high aspect ration 20 (10:1) needed. To deal with this, proper tip radius, bias voltage, photoresist, and etchants are necessary to fabricate a nanosize hole. A high aspect ratio (10:1) vertical hole. The diameter of the hole can be reduced with similar or same methods as used to fabricate the hole 25 or to coat a substrate, especially in the case of a larger diameter hole with a diameter greater than 100 nm. The hole is filled to reduce its inner diameter. This step enables the manufacture of larger diameter holes that are then reduced to a desired smaller diameter. This process

17

is less demanding and less expensive for manufacturing.

Optical lithography for example can be used to fabricate the holes.

The method of the present invention allows fabrication

of an NCRS with precise dimensions and location that
results in the sysnthesis of nanotubes with controlled
size, shape, orientation, and location. The method can be
implemented so as to be compatible with
microelectromechanical manufacturing systems (MEMS)

fabrication processes.

Selectively fabricating an individual NCRS with control over its diameter and length may require that the size of the NCRS be reduced with a process similar to those used in creating the layer in which the NCRS was

15 fabricated. These processes include electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition to deposit said nanotube

20 growth catalyst.

The filling-in can be done with the catalytic material or other suitable material. The fill-in of a NCRS can be achieved using the same methods that are used to deposit the catalyst. The methods include electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, chemical vapor deposition, and other methods known to those skilled in the art. In one variation the same catalyst

18

that stimulates the growth of the carbon nanotube is used as the material for filling-in the holes. In this case, no additional catalyst deposition process is required.

Right amount of filling-in with a catalyst will also 5 produce carbon nanotube growth that is smaller than the hole, therefore making small diameter carbon nanotubes with larger diameter holes.

A nanotube growth catalyst can be placed within an individual NCRS. The function of the metallic catalyst in 10 the carbon nanotube growth process is to decompose the hydrocarbides and aid the deposition of ordered carbon. Common catalyst materials are iron, cobalt, and nickel. The oxide of the hole may also be used as catalysts for growing carbon nanotubes. Materials used in MEMS 15 fabrication, such as Si and SiO2, are an example where we use a template made of Si which is electro-oxidized to produce SiO2 or SiO catalyst. The Si has to be doped to become conductive. Electro-oxidized Si is more porous than thermally grown oxide, which may affect nanotube growth.

Before a carbon nanotube can be grown from a template, the metallic catalyst must be deposited within it. A catalyst material in an individual template can be selectively deposited. Existing methods known to those skilled in the art can be used to deposit a metallic 25 catalyst material evenly around the hole. Existing catalyst deposition methods include electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and

20

19

evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.

Metallic catalysts can be deposited by chemical deposition immersing the substrate in Ni, Fe, and Co solutions (monomers). The substrate is then dried in H2 atmosphere (or any oxidizing agent for the monomer) to allow uniform deposition of the metal catalyst on the inner walls of the hole. The desired catalyst thickness on the template is controlled by the concentration of catalyst-

It is known that a carbon nanotube can be grown between a substrate and an anodic oxide film used as a catalyst via electro-oxidation. Changing the anodic oxidation time and the current density can control the inner diameter of the anodic oxide template. Changing the carbon deposition time can control the wall thickness of the nanotube. The length of the template determines the length of the carbon nanotube.

Catalyst deposition can be achieved by immersing the
substrate with a NCRS in a solution of a catalyst and apply
electrical potential to electroplate the holes.

It is known to those skilled in the art that sol-gel deposition can be used to coat the inside of a template with a semiconducting film. In this method, a porous aluminum membrane is first dipped into sol-gel solution. Afterward, the membrane is removed from the sol-gel solution and dried. The result is tubules or fibrils within the pores of the membrane. Tubules or fibrils are obtained depending on the temperature of the sol-gel

20

solution. The wall thickness of the tubules depends on the immersion time. In the method of the present invention, the sol-gel method is used to deposit metal catalysts, such as Ni, Fe and Co, instead of semiconductor materials.

Chemical vapor deposition is the most commonly used means of depositing thin films. The method of the present invention uses CVD to coat the walls of a nanosized template with Fe, Ni or Co catalyst. Required reaction temperature, amount of precursor, and deposition time.

Pore sol-gel deposition can be used to deposit metal catalysts instead of semiconductor materials. This process can produce short fibrils instead of tubules. The short fibrils can act as embedded catalytic particles.

10

Electrochemical plating requires catalyst solutions
with concentrations needed to coat the pore, the current
densities that will produce electroplating and the time of
deposition that is controlled. In another embodiment of
the present invention, the above electrochemical plating
process on a substrate with materials that can also be used
with MEMS fabrication. To satisfy the geometrical
constrains of this method, a cathode metal must be placed
on the closed side of the hole.

In all of the above methods unwanted catalyst may be deposited outside of the hole where the carbon nanotube will grow and should be removed. Methods of removal include:

(1) ion blowing by blowing ions at oblique angle with the substrate as not to remove the catalyst from within the hole;

21

(2) chemical submersion for a time interval too short to allow the rinsing of the catalyst within the hole but sufficient to rinse the surface; and

(3) magnetic removal of the magnetic catalyst.

Synthesis of the nanotubes can be manipulated chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process parameters. A design of experiment (DOE) may examine how process parameters such as selection of a CVD precursor gas temperature and reaction time effect nanotube growth. Allowing one to maniplate the parameters to achieve a desired result.

With the template method, the precursors, the reaction temperature, and the reaction times are optimized to implement the five basic process steps while remaining compatible with standard MEMS technology.

Aligned carbon nanotubes can also be synthesized by thermal deposition of hydrocarbides. The hydrocarbides used as precursors can be ethylene, acetylene, and methane. Carrier gas can be argon and nitrogen.

The template method requires CVD reaction temperatures
20 ranging from 545 C to 900 C. The specific reaction
temperature used depends on the type of catalyst and the
type of precursor. Energy balance equations for the
respective chemical reactions are used to analytically
determine the optimum CVD reaction temperature to grow
25 carbon nanotubes. This determines the required reaction
temperature ranges. The optimum reaction temperature also
depends on the flow rates of the selected precursor and the
catalyst.

22

In the template method the reaction time is used to control the wall thickness of the carbon nanotube. Since the growth of the nanotube is radially inward and fully contained within the template, longer reaction times produce nanotubes with thicker walls.

The reaction time is increased to achieve a thicker wall thickness some models for wall thickness growth assume that the carbon nanotube wall thickness growth rate is truly proportional to the CVD deposition time. The increased reaction time improves crystallization of the nanotube and decreases unwanted graphitization. A variation of the method involves tailoring the reaction time so as to produce a single-wall carbon nanotube and still obtain a highly crystallized carbon nanotube. Still another variation of the method involves controlling wall thickness without depending on the reaction time by Ni catalyst with pyrene precursor, a process known to yield thin carbon nanotube walls regardless of the time of CVD reaction.

The quality of carbon nanotubes synthesized depends on the catalyst, the precursors, the reaction temperatures and the reaction times. Nanotube quality also depended on substrate geometry (diameter and pore orientation). The proper alignment of the carbon nanotubes depends on the pore orientation.

Unlike the template method, where reaction time determined wall thickness, in the pore method reaction time determines the nanotube length. Longer reaction times produce longer nanotubes where the nanotubes can protrude

23

past the surface of the pore. The reaction times have to be determined to produce carbon nanotubes that are about 1 μm long, as required for an AFM tip. With the pore method there are no known means to control the wall thickness.
Means to control nanotube wall thickness is gassification of the synthesized carbon nanotubes.

some instances require that the synthesized carbon nanotube be uncovered without damage. This step is accomplished by selecting uncovering etchants and determining optimum uncovering times. In these instances the carbon nanotubes grown using the template method are uncovered as the template layer itself is removed. After which the carbon nanotubes are purified. To function as AFM tips, carbon nanotubes grown with the CVD template method must be uncovered from the template. An uncovered carbon nanotube must protrude at least 1  $\mu$ m from the substrate, while its root must remain integrated with the substrate.

The most common, and reliable, method to uncover the

carbon nanotubes is wet and dry etching. The method of the

present invention uses standard wet etchants and a large

knowledge base about their use with different MEMS
compatible substrate materials to control the uncovering

process.

25 Knowledge of etchants properties is combined with the etching requirements and used to select the best etchant for the materials for the process. The etching requirements include the desired etching rates and the type of etching that we need, isotropic or anisotropic. The

24

rates of anisotropic etching depend on the crystallography of the etched material, and anisotropic etching is mainly used for bulk-type MEMS fabrication. Isotropic etching is mainly used for surface-type micromachining MEMS fabrication. The selected etchant might be an individual etchant, diluted etchant or a combination of few etchants mixed proportionally.

The etchant must also be MEMS compatible. Etchants known to be compatible with MEMS fabrication include KOH,

10 HF, HF:HCl, H3PO4, and NaOH but need not be limited to these etchants. There is a possibility that the uncovering etchant may react with the SiO2 and silicon nitrides that are used as sacrificial materials in cantilever fabrication. These sacrificial layers are used to hold the cantilever in place until all processes, including the uncovering of the carbon nanotube, are completed. A reaction between the uncovering etchant and the sacrificial material may release the cantilever prematurely. This situation, as part of the MEMS fabrication process, allows the uncovering etchant to be used simultaneously as a cantilever release etchant.

Timing of the wet etching is also used as a means to control the nanotube's length, namely how far it protrudes from the bottom of the substrate. A crucial step in controlling nanotube length is to time the etching process so as to only expose the desired length of the nanotube and keep part of it buried in the substrate for structural support.

25

For the etchant and the substrate chosen, the etching rate can be empirically determined or is known. Knowing the etching rate, the etching time that results in uncovering the desired length of nanotube is calculated.

5 The desired total length of the carbon nanotube is the length of the template. The length of nanotube that must remain embedded in the substrate determines the depth to which the template must be etched away. The depth to which the nanotube has to be embedded in the substrate to

10 function as an AFM tip with the required strength.

For the special case in which the etchant simultaneously releases the cantilever and uncover the nanotube, one can analytically determine the optimum etching time. However, this prediction is more

15 complicated. First, the etching time for the uncovering process as described above is determined. Then, how deep the sacrificial layer (with different material) must be to dissolve simultaneously is determined. The calculation must also account for the difference in vertical and

20 lateral etching rates for the same etchant and etched material. This is an important issue since the sacrificial material under the cantilever must be removed by vertical and lateral etching.

Multilayer strategy as uncovering method is another

variation involving the use of two layers of template
substrate where the top layer is non-resistant to etchant
and the bottom layer is resistant to etchant. This
multilayer strategy makes the nanotube uncovering process
self regulating. This approach also provides an effective

26

way to precisely control the length of the carbon nanotube tip.

Although the present invention has been described in detail, it should be understood that various changes,

5 substitutions and alterations can be made hereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as described by the appended claims.

#### WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

 A method for manufacturing at least one carbon nanotube as a functional elements of a MEMS device, comprising the steps of:

5 preparing a MEMS substrate for growth of the at least one carbon nanotube;

creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure in a first layer at a location on said MEMS substrate, wherein said location determines a position of the at least one carbon nanotube on said MEMS substrate;

placing a nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

synthesizing the at least one carbon nanotube within said catalyst retaining structure.

15

2. The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of:

removing said first layer from said MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube wherein said first layer is a sacrificial layer.

3. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a controlled location, orientation, shape, diameter and depth.

25

4. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure serves as a template to control location, orientation, shape, diameter and length of the at least one carbon nanotube.

5. The method of Claim´1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure controls the diameter of the at least one carbon nanotube.

5

- 6. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure controls a length of the at least one carbon nanotube.
- 7. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure controls an orientation of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said MEMS substrate.
- 15 8. The method of Claim 1, wherein said orientation of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said MEMS substrate is at an angle to said MEMS substrate.
- 9. The method of Claim 1, wherein said orientation
  20 of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said
  MEMS substrate is orthoganal to said MEMS substrate.
- 10 The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is an electrochemical process.
  - 11. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a

29

location on said MEMS substrate is a photoelectrochemical etching process.

- 12. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of 5 creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is an electrochemical etching process.
- 13. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of 10 creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is mechanical process.
- 14. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is accomplished with an electron beam.
- 15. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is accomplished with an ion beam.
- 16. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a

  25 location on said MEMS substrate is an lithography process, wherein said lithography process is a process selected from the group consisting of x-ray lithography, deep UV lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam lithography, ion beam lithography, and optical lithography.

30

17. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition to deposit said nanotube catalyst.

10

18. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises thermal deposition of hydrocarbides.

15

- 19. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a reaction time of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a length of the at least one carbon nanotube.
- 20. The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a process parameter of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a wall thickness of the at least one carbon nanotube.

31

- 21. The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure.
- The method of Claim 21, wherein said step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure and placing involves filling-in said nanosize catalyst retaining structure with a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition,

  chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.
- 15 23. The method of Claim 21, wherein said step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure and placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure are performed simultaneously and wherein said step of reducing said diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition, and wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition,

chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating,

32

sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.

- 5 24. The method of Claim 1, further comprising purifying said nanotube.
  - 25. The method of Claim 1, wherein said MEMS substrate is an AFM cantilever.

10

- 26. The method of Claim 1, wherein the carbon nanotube has a diameter less than 100nm.
- 27. The method of Claim 1, wherein the carbon
  15 nanotube has an aspect ratio of 10:1 diameter less than
  100nm.
- 28. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a varying diameter which produces said nanotube with a varying diameter.
- 29. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a varying vertical profile which produces said nanotube with a varying vertical 25 profile.
  - 30. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a tapered vertical profile

33

which produces said nanotube with a tapered vertical profile.

31. The method of Claim 1 further comprising the 5 steps of:

depositing at least one second layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

creating a second nanosize catalyst retaining structure in said at least one second sacrificial layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

depositing said nanotube catalyst within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

growing a second nanotube within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure.

15

32. The method of Claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

removing said at least one second layer from said MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube and wherein said second layer is a sacrificial layer.

- 33. The method of Claim 1, wherein said nanosize catalyst structure has a geometry selected from at least one of the geometries selected from the group consisting of cylindrical, spherical, foroid-like, helical.
  - 34. A method for manufacturing at least one carbon nanotube as a functional elements of a MEMS device, comprising the steps of:

34

preparing a MEMS substrate for growth of the at least one carbon nanotube;

creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure in a first layer at a location on said MEMS substrate, wherein said location determines a position of the at least one carbon nanotube on said MEMS substrate;

placing a nanotube catalyst on a lower surface within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

synthesizing the at least one carbon nanotube within 10 said catalyst retaining structure.

35. The method of Claim 34, further comprising the step of:

removing said first layer from said MEMS substrate to
15 reveal said nanotube wherein said first layer is a
sacrificial layer.

- 36. The method of Claim 34, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a controlled location, orientation, shape, diameter and depth.
- 37. The method of Claim 34, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure serves as a pore to control location, orientation, and diameter of the at least one carbon nanotube.
  - 38. The method of Claim 34, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure controls the diameter of the at least one carbon nanotube.

- 39. The method of Claim 34, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure controls an orientation of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said MEMS substrate.
  - 40. The method of Claim 34, wherein said orientation of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said MEMS substrate is at an angle to said MEMS substrate.

10

- 41. The method of Claim 34, wherein said orientation of the at least one carbon nanotube with respect to said MEMS substrate is orthogonal to said MEMS substrate.
- 15 42. The method of Claim 34, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical process, photoelectrochemical etching process, electrochemical etching process, an electron beam process, an ion beam process, and a lithography process, wherein said lithography process is a process selected from the group consisting of x-ray lithography, deep UV lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam lithography, ion beam lithography, and optical lithography.
  - 43. The method of Claim 34, wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from

36

the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.

- 44. The method of Claim 34, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises thermal deposition of hydrocarbides.
- 45. The method of Claim 34, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a reaction time of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a length of the at least one carbon nanotube.
- 46. The method of Claim 34, wherein said step of
  synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst
  retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition
  process wherein a process parameter of said chemical vapor
  deposition process is manipulated to control a wall
  thickness of the at least one carbon nanotube.

25

47. The method of Claim 34, further comprising the step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure.

37

48. The method of Claim 47, wherein said step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure and placing involves filling-in said nanosize catalyst retaining structure with a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition.

10

49. The method of Claim 47, wherein said step of reducing a diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure and placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure are performed 15 simultaneously and wherein said step of reducing said diameter of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, chemical deposition, electrooxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion 20 and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition, and wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of electrochemical deposition, 25 chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, thermal diffusion and evaporation, physical vapor deposition, sol-gel deposition, and chemical vapor deposition to deposit said nanotube catalyst.

38

- 50. The method of Claim 34, further comprising purifying said nanotube.
- 51. The method of Claim 34, wherein said MEMS substrate is an AFM cantilever.
  - 52. The method of Claim 34, wherein the carbon nanotube has a diameter less than 100nm.
- 10 53. The method of Claim 34, wherein the carbon nanotube has an aspect ratio of 10:1 diameter less than 100nm.
- 54. The method of Claim 34, further comprising the 15 steps of:

depositing at least one second layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

creating a second nanosize catalyst retaining structure in said at least one second sacrificial layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

depositing said nanotube catalyst within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

growing a second nanotube within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure.

25

55. The method of Claim 34, further comprising the steps of:

removing said at least one second layer from said MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube and wherein said second

39

layer is a sacrificial layer.

56. A method for manufacturing patterns of carbon nanotubes as functional elements of MEMS devices,5 comprising the steps of:

preparing a MEMS substrate for growth of the patterns of carbon nanotubes;

creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure in a first layer at a location on said MEMS substrate, wherein said location determines a position of the patterns of carbon nanotubes on said MEMS substrate;

placing a nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

synthesizing the patterns of carbon nanotubes within said catalyst retaining structure.

57. The method of Claim 56, further comprising the step of:

removing said first layer from said MEMS substrate to
20 reveal said nanotube wherein said first layer is a
sacrificial layer.

- 58. The method of Claim 56, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a controlled location,orientation, shape, diameter and depth.
  - 59. The method of Claim 56, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure serves as a template to

40

control location, orientation, shape, diameter and length of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.

- 60. The method of Claim 56, wherein said step of
  creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a
  location on said MEMS substrate is a process selected from
  the group consisting of an electrochemical process,
  photoelectrochemical etching process, an electrochemical
  etching process, mechanical process, an electron beam
  process, an ion beam process and a lithography process,
  wherein said lithography process is a process selected from
  the group consisting of x-ray lithography, deep UV
  lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam
  lithography, ion beam lithography, and optical lithography.
- 61. The method of Claim 56, wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of chemical deposition, electro-oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, physical vapor deposition and chemical vapor deposition to deposit said

15

nanotube catalyst.

62. The method of Claim 56, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises thermal deposition of hydrocarbides.

WO 00/19494

- 63. The method of Claim 56, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a reaction time of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a length of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.
- 64. The method of Claim 56, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a process parameter of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a wall thickness of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.
- 15 65. The method of Claim 56, further comprising purifying said patterns of carbon nanotubes.
  - 66. The method of Claim 56, further comprising the steps of:
- depositing at least one second layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

creating a second nanosize catalyst retaining structure in said at least one second sacrificial layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

depositing said nanotube catalyst within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

growing a second pattern of carbon nanotubes within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure.

42

67. The method of Claim 56, further comprising the steps of:

removing said at least one second layer from said MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube and wherein said second 5 layer is a sacrificial layer.

- 68. A flat panel display comprising:
- an array of carbon nanotubes formed on a substrate;
- 10 a control system coupled to said array of carbon nanotubes.
- 69. The flat panel display of Claim 68, wherein said array of carbon nanotubes are formed by the steps
  15 comprising:

preparing a MEMS substrate for growth of the patterns of carbon nanotubes;

creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure in a first layer at a location on said MEMS substrate, wherein said location determines a position of the patterns of carbon nanotubes on said MEMS substrate;

placing a nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

synthesizing the patterns of carbon nanotubes within 25 said catalyst retaining structure.

70. The flat panel display of claim 69, further comprising the step of:

43

removing said first layer from said MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube wherein said first layer is a sacrificial layer.

- 71. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said nanosize catalyst retaining structure has a controlled location, orientation, shape, diameter and depth.
- 72. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said
  10 nanosize catalyst retaining structure serves as a template
  to control location, orientation, shape, diameter and
  length of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.
- 73. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said step of creating a nanosize catalyst retaining structure at a location on said MEMS substrate is a process selected from the group consisting of an electrochemical process, photoelectrochemical etching process, an electrochemical etching process, mechanical process, an electron beam process, an ion beam process and a lithography process, wherein said lithography process is a process selected from the group consisting of x-ray lithography, deep UV lithography, scanning probe lithography, electron beam lithography, ion beam lithography, and optical lithography.

74. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said step of placing said nanotube catalyst within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure uses a process selected from the group consisting of chemical deposition, electro-

25

WO 00/19494

44

PCT/US99/22334

oxidation, electroplating, sputtering, physical vapor deposition and chemical vapor deposition to deposit said nanotube catalyst.

- 5 75. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises thermal deposition of hydrocarbides.
- 10 76. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a reaction time of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a length of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.
- 77. The flat panel display of claim 69, wherein said step of synthesizing said nanotube within said nanosize catalyst retaining structure comprises a chemical vapor deposition process wherein a process parameter of said chemical vapor deposition process is manipulated to control a wall thickness of the patterns of carbon nanotubes.
- 78. The flat panel display of claim 69, further
  25 comprising the step of purifying said patterns of carbon nanotubes.
  - 79. The flat panel display of claim 69, further comprising the steps of:

45

depositing at least one additional layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

creating a second nanosize catalyst retaining structure in said at least one second sacrificial layer at a location on said MEMS substrate;

depositing said nanotube catalyst within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure; and

growing a second pattern of carbon nanotubes within said second nanosize catalyst retaining structure.

10


80. The method of Claim 1 further comprising the steps of:

removing said at least one additional layer from said

MEMS substrate to reveal said nanotube and wherein said

15 second layer is a sacrificial layer.

81. The method of Claim 1, wherein said first layer comprises a template of two distinct layers, a top layer and a bottom layer wherein said top and said bottom layer have differing selectivities to an etchant, wherein a process of uncovering said at least one nanotube is self regulating because of the differing selectivities and wherein said step of synthesizing said at least one carbon nanotube is effected by a grain size of a wall of said nanosize catalyst retaining structure.







FIG. 4

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/22334

US 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68  col. 18, Line 31.  Y  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, 1-67 and 69-81  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  **Special causgories of cited documents:  **A**  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances  **B**  **Sepeial causgories of cited documents:  **A**  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to involve as inventives step when the document is cited to understand the principle or theory understand to be off particular relevances. the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve as inventives step when the document is document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve as inventives step when the document is considered to involve as inventives step when the document is inventive to particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve as inventives step when the document is inventive step when the document is inventive to a perior skilled in the set of the actual completion of the international filing date but later than the set of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve as inventive step when the document is considered to involve as inventive step when the document is considered to involve as inventive step when the document is considered to involve as inventive step when the document is considered to involve as inventive step when the document is being defined in the set of the set		<del></del>	<u></u> l	·		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 423/447.2, 447.3, 313/311, 336, 346R; 315/169.4; 438/52  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No Col. 18, Line 31.  Y. U.S. 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68.  G9-79  Y. U.S. 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y. LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A. WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  **Special estagrates of clied documents:  **A document defining the assural state of the an which is not considered to be of practical relevances. the clinical international cling data with the significant but cited to understand the significant but cited to inchemistrate the significant of the particular principles of the significant considered to involve an inventile step when the document is deciment published principle of the international fling data with the principle of the considered to involve an inventile step when the document is deciment published principle of the international fling data but later than the principle of the international plane of the international fling data but later than the principle of the international plane of the international fling data but later than the principle of the considered to involve an inventile step when the document is deciment published principle of the international fling data but later than the principle of the international search to involve an inventile step when the document is deciment	IPC(7) :H01L 21/00; H01J 1/14, 19/24 US CL :423/447.2, 447.3; 313/311, 336, 346R; 315/169.4; 438/52					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category*  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No  X  US 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68  COL. 18, Line 31.  (69-79  Y  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, 1-67 and 69-81  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  Special estagories of cited documento:  "A document affining the guarant state of the art which is eight extensive decidence of subdivision of order subdocument which say there documents:  "Special estagories of cited documento:  "A document affining the guarant state of the art which is eight extensive the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of controller and international filing date or priority date claimed:  "A document affining the guarant state of the art which is eight extensive to terminate or the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of the continuent of the continuent of the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of the continuent of particular relevance, the decidence of the continuent of the continue	B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category*  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No  Col. 18, Line 31.  US 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68  Col. 18, Line 31.  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, 1-67 and 69-81  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y.  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A.  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  **Special estagories of cited documents:  **A Special estagories of cited documents:  **A Special estagories of cited documents:  **Special estagories of cited documents:  **A Special estagories of cited documents:	Minimum de	ocumentation searched (classification system follower	d by classification symbols)			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category*  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim No  Col. 18, Line 31.  Y  US 5,7764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68  col. 18, Line 31.  Y  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, 1-67 and 69-81  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  **  Special exagories of cited documento:  **  document defining the seared state of the art which is not considered to be of particular places, understand to be of particular places, understand to be particular places, understand to be particular places, which my shouse an inventice assort be considered to involve an inventice ass	U.S. :	423/447.2, 447.3; 313/311, 336, 346R; 315/169.4; 43	8/52			
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No    X	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  X US 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - col. 18, Line 31.  Y US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  * Special estagories of cited documents:  A document of fining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of producible relevance when the document is taken and not in conflict with the spellization but cited to understand the principle or theory understand the principle or theory understand size of unother citation or other special reason (as specified)  ** document referring to an oral disclosura, u.s., exhibition or other manual consumments and publicated prior to the international fling data to considerate to timely an invention cannot be considered	Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
US 5,764,004 A (RABINOWITZ) 09 June 1998, col. 17, Line 55 - 68  col. 18, Line 31.  Y  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, 1-67 and 69-81  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  **Special catagories of cited documents:  'A'  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances to be of particular relevances (as specified)  'B'  document of particular relevances the claimed investion cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is then alone considered to involve an inventive step when the document is inventive to particular relevances (the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is inventive step when the document is inventive step when the document is inventive as inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed involves an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed involves an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed involves an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed involves an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed involves an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other analysis of particular relevance; the claimed in	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Col. 18, Line 31.  Y  US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  * Special catagories of cited documents:  * Comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  * See patent family unnex.  * Special catagories of cited documents:  * See patent family unnex.  * See patent family unnex.	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y US 5,773,921 A (KEESMANN, ET AL.) 30 June 1998, col. 3, Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  Y LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  A WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  * Special categories of cited documents:  * Special categories of cited documents:  * Special categories of cited documents:  * * Special categories of cited documents:  * * Special categories of cited documents:  * * * Special categories of cited documents:  * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	X	, , ,	June 1998, coì. 17, Line 55 -	68		
Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  LI, W.Z., ET AL. LARGE-SCALE SYNTHESIS OF ALIGNED CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  * Special categories of cited documents:  * A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be foundabled on or after the international filing date with the publication of the international filing date of the actual completion of the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document referring to an oral disclosura, use, exhibition or other means  **P' document published prior to the international filing data but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999  Line 8 - col. 7, Line 35.  1-67 and 69-81  1-81	Y	coi. 10, Ento 51.		69-79		
CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE, 06 December 1996, VOL. 274, PAGES 1701-1703, ESPECIALLY PAGE 1703.  WO 98/11588 A (THE REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.  * Special categories of cited documents:  * Cocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents: such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  * Cocument published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  * Comment of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents; such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  * Comment published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  * Date of the actual completion of the international search  * Date of mailing of the international search report  * O 4 FEB 2000	Y		1-67 and 69-81			
CALIFORNIA) 19 March 1998, see entire document.    See patent family annex.   See patent family annex.	Y	CARBON NANOTUBES, SCIENCE,	1-67 and 69-81			
Special categories of cited documents:  'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'B' earlier document published on or after the international filing date  'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999    later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cann	A			1-81		
Special categories of cited documents:  'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'B' earlier document published on or after the international filing date  'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999    later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cann						
*A* document designing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  *B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date  *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  *P* document published prior to the international filing data but later than the priority date claimed  *Date of the actual completion of the international search  *Date of the actual completion of the international search  *December 1999  *A* document describe the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  *A* document member of the same patent samily  *Date of mailing of the international search report  **O***  **December 1999						
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date  *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  *P* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the priority date claimed  *Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of the actual completion of the international search  *O* DECEMBER 1999  **O* DECEMBER 1999  **O* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  **A** document member of the same patent family  **Date of mailing of the international search report  **O** DECEMBER 1999	date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand					
*L* document which mey throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  *P* document published prior to the international filing data but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999  *Coarsidered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone considered novel or cannot be considered novel no	to	be of particular relevance				
document referring to an oral disclosura, use, exhibition or other means  'P' document published prior to the international filing data but later than the priority data claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999  considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the set  document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  04 FEB 2000	*L* dos	cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ad to establish the publication date of another citation or other	considered novel or cannot be conside when the document is taken alone	red to involve an inventive step		
Date of the actual completion of the international search  27 DECEMBER 1999  Date of mailing of the international search  04 FEB 2000	*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other combined with one or more other		considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more other suc	step when the document is h documents, such combination		
27 DECEMBER 1999 <b>04 FEB 2000</b>			'&' document member of the same paten	t family		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231  Authorized officer PETER DIMAURO						
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 [Telephone No. (703) 308-0661	Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231  PETER DIMAURO					

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/22334

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
7	JP 10-203,810 A (CANON KK) 04 August 1998, see pages 10-11 & abstracts.	1-81
4		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet)(July 1992)\*